

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/534,752	03/24/2000	Rudolf Hinterwaldner	3214	2843
75	90 03/15/2004		EXAMINER	
Thomas G Scavone			JOHNSON, JERRY D	
Niro Scavone H	aller & Niro			·
181 West Madison Street			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
Suite 4600			1764	
Chicago II 6	0602			

DATE MAILED: 03/15/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No. Applicant(s)					
	09/534,752	HINTERWALDNER ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	Jerry D. Johnson	1764				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the o	orrespondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	mely filed ys will be considered timely. If the mailing date of this communication. ED (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 17 Ap	<u>oril 2003</u> .					
2a) This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ⊠ This	<u> </u>					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is						
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.						
Disposition of Claims						
4) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-41</u> is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) □ Claim(s) is/are allowed.  6) ⊠ Claim(s) <u>26-41</u> is/are rejected.  7) □ Claim(s) is/are objected to.  8) □ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	wn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accomplicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Example.	epted or b) objected to by the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. Se tion is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a). ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of:  1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority document application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Applicat rity documents have been receiv u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	tion No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)  1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)  2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)  3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	4) Interview Summan Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal 6) Other:					

Art Unit: 1764

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 34, 37-39 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jacobs.

Jacobs, U.S. Patent 5,180,509, teaches metal-free lubricant compositions comprising graphite for use in threaded connections (column 1, lines 9-11). The composition of the invention also comprises a polymeric material which is chosen from polyalkylene polymers, preferably branched polyalkylenes. Suitable polymers include polyethylene, polypropylene and polybutylene (column 3, lines 34-40). Addition of a friction adjusting component chosen from, *inter alia*, a "substance which releases gases at elevated temperatures" (i.e., calcium carbonate, magnesium carbonate and zinc carbonate) is taught in column 3, line 65 to column 4, line 2. The base material preferably comprises an oil or a grease which comprises an oil together with a soap or a polyurethane (column 5, lines 1-5). While Jacobs differ from the instant claims in not requiring the addition of a carbonate additive or polyurethane, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to follow the above teachings and arrive at the instantly claimed composition.

Claims 26, 29-34 and 37-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koide et al.

Koide et al., U.S. Patent 4,104,073, teach a composition comprising a) liquid or semisolid organic material and blowing agents, b) a phosphoric acid compound, c) polyhydric

Art Unit: 1764

alcohols and/or carbohydrates, d) blowing agents, e) grease, f) heat resistance fiber, g) microballons and other additives (abstract). Component a) may contain, inter alia, oligomers of urethane and ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymer (column 2, line 40 to column 3, line 20). The blowing agent emits gas or gases, such as nitrogen, carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, and ammonia, when thermally decomposed. Examples of useful blowing agents include, *inter alia*, azodicarbonamide (column 4, lines 39-56). Heat resistance fibers, component f), include Teflon® (column 5, lines 50-60). While Koide et al. differ from the instant claims in not requiring the each of the claimed compounds, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to follow the above teachings and arrive at the instantly claimed composition.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 26-30, 33-38 and 40-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 26-30, 33-38 and 41 are confusing, i.e., the independent claims, claims 26 and 34 recite the Markush group language "selection from the group consisting of" and "selected from the group consisting of," respectively, however these claims go on to recite "polyurethanes" three different times within two different groups within the Markush group. These claims also improperly recite the alternative language "or hydraulically setting inorganic substances."

Further, claim 26 also contains the misspelled term "poliymides."

Art Unit: 1764

Claims 27 and 35 lack antecedent basis for component b) to be selected from the recited compounds.

Claim 40 lacks antecedent basis for component a) to be "a physically setting polymer selected from polyolefins containing, in copolymerized form, units having functional groups". In claim 40, it is also unclear if the claimed polyolefins are intended to contain, "in copolymerized form," polyamide groups, saturated polyester groups, or poly(meth)acrylate groups.

Applicant's arguments filed April 17, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

## Applicants argue

[a] limitation over U. S. 5,180,509 is achieved by the express "anti-seize composition" vs. lubricant composition. A lubricant composition is not an anti-seize composition. (Remarks, page 9).

Applicants' argument lacks merit.

Applicants' claims are directed to a composition, not a particular use of that composition. In any event, the term "anti-seize composition" is not mutually exclusive from lubricant compositions. See, for example, U.S. Patent 5,180,509 entitled "Metal-Free Lubricant Composition Containing Graphite For Use In Threaded Connections" which discloses an "anti-seize composition." See column 1, lines 34-44 of Jacobs.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerry D. Johnson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1448. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00-3:30, M-F, alternate Fridays off.

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glen Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jerry D. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764

Art Unit: 1764

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 34, 37-39 and 41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Jacobs.

Jacobs, U.S. Patent 5,180,509, teaches metal-free lubricant compositions comprising graphite for use in threaded connections (column 1, lines 9-11). The composition of the invention also comprises a polymeric material which is chosen from polyalkylene polymers, preferably branched polyalkylenes. Suitable polymers include polyethylene, polypropylene and polybutylene (column 3, lines 34-40). Addition of a friction adjusting component chosen from, *inter alia*, a "substance which releases gases at elevated temperatures" (i.e., calcium carbonate, magnesium carbonate and zinc carbonate) is taught in column 3, line 65 to column 4, line 2. The base material preferably comprises an oil or a grease which comprises an oil together with a soap or a polyurethane (column 5, lines 1-5). While Jacobs differ from the instant claims in not requiring the addition of a carbonate additive or polyurethane, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to follow the above teachings and arrive at the instantly claimed composition.

Claims 26, 29-34 and 37-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Koide et al.

Koide et al., U.S. Patent 4,104,073, teach a composition comprising a) liquid or semisolid organic material and blowing agents, b) a phosphoric acid compound, c) polyhydric

Art Unit: 1764

alcohols and/or carbohydrates, d) blowing agents, e) grease, f) heat resistance fiber, g) microballons and other additives (abstract). Component a) may contain, inter alia, oligomers of urethane and ethylene-vinyl acetate copolymer (column 2, line 40 to column 3, line 20). The blowing agent emits gas or gases, such as nitrogen, carbon monoxide, carbon dioxide, and ammonia, when thermally decomposed. Examples of useful blowing agents include, *inter alia*, azodicarbonamide (column 4, lines 39-56). Heat resistance fibers, component f), include Teflon® (column 5, lines 50-60). While Koide et al. differ from the instant claims in not requiring the each of the claimed compounds, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill at the time the invention was made to follow the above teachings and arrive at the instantly claimed composition.

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Claims 26-30, 33-38 and 40-41 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 26-30, 33-38 and 41 are confusing, i.e., the independent claims, claims 26 and 34 recite the Markush group language "selection from the group consisting of" and "selected from the group consisting of," respectively, however these claims go on to recite "polyurethanes" three different times within two different groups within the Markush group. These claims also improperly recite the alternative language "or hydraulically setting inorganic substances." Further, claim 26 also contains the misspelled term "polymides."

Art Unit: 1764

Claims 27 and 35 lack antecedent basis for component b) to be selected from the recited compounds.

Claim 40 lacks antecedent basis for component a) to be "a physically setting polymer selected from polyolefins containing, in copolymerized form, units having functional groups". In claim 40, it is also unclear if the claimed polyolefins are intended to contain, "in copolymerized form," polyamide groups, saturated polyester groups, or poly(meth)acrylate groups.

Applicant's arguments filed April 17, 2003 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

## Applicants argue

[a] limitation over U. S. 5,180,509 is achieved by the express "anti-seize composition" vs. lubricant composition. A lubricant composition is not an anti-seize composition. (Remarks, page 9).

Applicants' argument lacks merit.

Applicants' claims are directed to a composition, not a particular use of that composition. In any event, the term "anti-seize composition" is not mutually exclusive from lubricant compositions. See, for example, U.S. Patent 5,180,509 entitled "Metal-Free Lubricant Composition Containing Graphite For Use In Threaded Connections" which discloses an "anti-seize composition." See column 1, lines 34-44 of Jacobs.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jerry D. Johnson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1448. The examiner can normally be reached on 6:00-3:30, M-F, alternate Fridays off.

Art Unit: 1764

Page 5

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Glen Caldarola can be reached on (571) 272-1444. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free)

Jerry D. Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1764

jdj